	Case 2:22-cv-02322-KJM-CKD Docume	nt 10 Filed 03/07/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JAMES LEONARD RICALLS,	No. 2:22-cv-2322 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND
14	R. SMITH,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and seeking relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §	
18	1983. On January 25, 2023, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend.	
19	Plaintiff has now filed an amended complaint.	
20	The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a	
21	governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The	
22	court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally	
23	"frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek	
24	monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1),(2).	
25	In his amended complaint, plaintiff alleges that he maintains a plant-based diet for	
26	religious reasons. Plaintiff also alleges that once in November of 2020, and then twice in April of	
27	2021, some items included on his dinner tray were not plant-based. He seeks damages for	
28	violation of his First Amendment right to free exercise of religion.	
		1

Case 2:22-cv-02322-KJM-CKD Document 10 Filed 03/07/23 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff does not allege he was denied dinner on the dates alleged. Rather, he alleges that some of the items on his tray were not plant-based so the court concludes that any injury to plaintiff was de minimis. Even if plaintiff was denied any food that he could eat on three separate evenings, his injury would still be de minimis and not substantial enough to form the basis of an actionable claim. See Freeman v. Arpaio, 125 F.3d 732, 737 (9th Cir. 1997) (in order for a prisoner to state a claim for denial of free exercise of religion, prisoner must allege a substantial burden on free exercise).

For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's amended complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. As it appears plaintiff cannot state a claim even with amendment, leave to amend a second time will not be granted.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district court judge to this case.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's amended complaint be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted; and
 - 2. This case be closed.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: March 6, 2023

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

rica2322.dis